Loads of people and a bit of COVID infection reduction in critical infrastructures

Federico Oliva, Corrado Possieri

IASI-CNR, Tor Vergata University of Rome

November 10th, 2022







School of Engineering

1 Infection risk

2 Crowd modeling

3 Crowd control

3 × 4 3 ×

< □ > < 同 >

æ

Mixed Box modeling



Figure 1: Mixed box model - Termini station



Figure 2: Room setup.

- black walls: insulated walls
- blue walls: ventilated walls
- red circles: Infectious agents
- green circles: Susceptible agents

The infectious model depends on [1]:

• Infection Viral Removal Rate: *IVRR*

- Infection Viral Removal Rate: *IVRR*
- Emission Rate: *ER*_q

- Infection Viral Removal Rate: *IVRR*
- Emission Rate: *ER*_q
- Number of infectious subjects: I

- Infection Viral Removal Rate: *IVRR*
- Emission Rate: *ER*_q
- Number of infectious subjects: I
- Quanta concentration: $n(x, y, t) \rightarrow n(t)$

- Infection Viral Removal Rate: *IVRR*
- Emission Rate: *ER*_q
- Number of infectious subjects: I
- Quanta concentration: $n(x, y, t) \rightarrow n(t)$
- Dose of quanta received: D_q in a period of time

- Infection Viral Removal Rate: *IVRR*
- Emission Rate: *ER*_q
- Number of infectious subjects: I
- Quanta concentration: $n(x, y, t) \rightarrow n(t)$
- Dose of quanta received: D_q in a period of time
- Inhalation Rate: *IR*

The infectious model depends on:

• Volume of the personal area: V

- Volume of the personal area: V
- Distance between subjects: d

Model equations

Time dependence:

$$n(t) = n_0 e^{-IVRR \cdot t} + \frac{ER_q \cdot I \cdot V}{IVRR} (1 - e^{-IVRR \cdot t})$$

$$(1)$$

Model equations

Time dependence:

$$n(t) = n_0 e^{-IVRR \cdot t} + \frac{ER_q \cdot I \cdot V}{IVRR} \left(1 - e^{-IVRR \cdot t}\right)$$
(1)

Steady-State concentration:

$$n_{\infty} = \lim_{t \to \infty} n(t) = \frac{ER_q \cdot I \cdot V}{IVRR}$$

(2)

Time-scale assumption

It is assumed that the latent period of the disease is longer than the time scale of the model, and the droplets are instantaneously and evenly distributed in the box.



Figure 3: Mixed box approximation. Uniform quanta concentration at the steady-state condition

Dose of quanta received

$$D_q = IR \int_0^T n(t)dt = IR \cdot n_\infty \cdot T,$$
(3)

Infection risk

$$r \propto 1 - e^{-D_q} \tag{4}$$

1 Infection risk

2 Crowd modeling

æ

Mixed Box modeling



Figure 4: Mixed box model - Termini station

Task modeling



Figure 5: Potential goal description - Termini station

Modeling approaches [3]

- Microscopic model
- Macroscopic model

Modeling approaches [3]

- Microscopic model (Low level social interaction)
- Macroscopic model (Train schedule, Ventilation, Gate openings)

General Idea

Model the interactions between agents accordingly to Newton's Law.

Kinds of force

Agents i, j, Environment w:

- Self motivation: F_0
- Agents interaction: $F_{ij} = -k_p \cdot d_{ij}$
- Environment interaction: $F_{iw} = -k_w \cdot d_{iw}$

General Idea

Model the interactions between agents accordingly to Newton's Law.



Figure 6: Social Force Model

Extended Social Force Model

General Idea

Starting from the standard SFM, add group affiliation dynamics.



Figure 7: Extended Social Force Model

1 Infection risk

3 Crowd control

< □ > < 同 >

æ

Machine Learning



General idea

Modification of the Agent's actions based on the interactions with the Environment.

General idea

Modification of the Agent's actions based on the interactions with the Environment.



Figure 9: General RL framework scheme.

Federico Oliva (IASI-CNR)

Key concepts [2]

- State x: Agent state
- Action a: in a set \mathcal{A}
- Policy π : rule used to take $a = \pi(x)$
- Value function v_π: state goodness estimation w.r.t π
- Model: Agent's belief of the Environment



Figure 10: Maze escape example



Figure 10: Maze escape example





• State: location x_t

- Actions: A = N, E, S, W
- Reward: $R_t = -1$

From an initial policy π $v_{\pi} o v_{\pi^\star}$

Framework setup

Environment - Termini station

- Agent movements
- Train arrivals

Framework setup

Environment - Termini station

- Agent movements
- Train arrivals

Agent

 $\bullet\,$ State: number of people, position, time, and individual risk r_i

• Reward: average infection risk \boldsymbol{r}

Framework setup

Environment - Termini station

- Agent movements
- Train arrivals

Agent

- State: number of people, position, time, and individual risk r_i
- Reward: average infection risk r

Action

- Train scheduling
- Ventilation
- Gate openings



Behavioral policy

The RL algorithm will return an optimal behavioral policy (π^*) , namely an optimal set of actions maximizing the Reward:

$$\lim_{N o\infty} \ oldsymbol{\pi} = oldsymbol{\pi}^{\star},$$

being N the number of simulations/experiments.

(5)



To recap

- There's a lot of COVID: environment and infection risk modeling
- **②** There are lots of people: agents interactions (SFM)
- On we pull it off? Reinforcement Learning approach

Thanks for your attention

Time for Q&A!

Federico Oliva (IASI-CNR)

YES@IASI

November 10th, 2022

(日)

- [1] A et al Mikszewski. "Airborne Infection Risk Calculator: User's Manual.". In: (). URL: %5Curl%7Bhttps://www.unicas.it/media/4952018/AIRC%7D.
- [2] Richard S. Sutton and Andrew G. Barto. *Reinforcement Learning: An Introduction*. Second. The MIT Press, 2018.
- Qingqing Wang et al. "Improved multi-agent reinforcement learning for path planning-based crowd simulation". In: IEEE Access 7 (2019), pp. 73841-73855.